A holistic approach to sustainability from calf to 5th lactation and beyond

Dairy NutriVision, 12th September 2024

Dr Liz Homer, Sustainability Manager Ruminants ECA



About me



Contents

- 1. No one system is most sustainable!
- 2. What can we do today to reduce carbon footprint?
- 3. ...and **HOW** can we achieve this?
- 4. Utilising the science and innovation
- 5. Let's get started!



Why do we need to be more sustainable?

- **Green Deal**
- Sustainable Food System Framework
- Farm to Fork Strategy •
- Corporate Sustainability **Reporting Directive**
- Green claim initiative •
- **EU Deforestation Regulation** •
- ...and many others to comply with now and in the near future







13 •••

15

SCIENCE BASED TARGETS



No one system is most sustainable...















Challenges facing dairy farmers



a Nutreco company

What can we do today?





Process of environmental footprinting



1_GB Test Farm - Impact of MY ×			Ration ×		×	Animals)		
1_GB Test Form - Impo	ect of MY	[2 Export		1_08 Standard Ration		(2 Exp	M (1)	Animals chorac	toristics		
Production name	Stort date	End date		Norte	Monufacturing	-0.04		Animal description			
1 GR Test Form - Imp	1/1/2022	International		1_08 Standard Ration	1/3/2023			Mikers			
former	Total milk prode	luction (Rres)@	-	Description Bing 05, 4kg MZ, 2kg 85, 6kg C	Aution weight 48	NG)		650	90	YES	cow?
A Former	900000			Note: Note: Second sections:				Rations			
Aloc. Byproducts (%)Φ 12	Fot (%)	Protein (%) 3.31		(matheorial data or racion, (matheory (sta/splw)) 18.37	Operationally % 64.97			ALTICN I I_GB Standard Ratio	n Lo	Number of day 365 3. reduction	∠ 1
Animole©			^	Impacts:				Not included		0	
Animal I description Milkors	90	r of onimals	10		CUMATE CHANGE (kg CODe)	CUMATE CHANGE Fassil (kg CODe)	CUMATE CHAIN Bing (kg-CODe	ADD RATION			+
Animal 2 description	Number	r of perimeter		Gross slippe puerope	1.1845	0,9882	0.00	Manana Managamana	÷		
Dry Cows			∠ 0	Moline slitoge (Moline all DM240-200)	1.2046	0.7974	0.00	Current percentage %: 10	•		
nimal 3 description	Number	r of animate		Rope seed meal (Ropeseed meal, CP (380)	0.3004	0.2552	0.00	Liquid/Sturry storage I	below animal	60	2 B
Hellers	36		∠☆	Barky	0.2437	0.2105	0.00	confinements - 4 Mont	e		
unimal 4 description	Number	r of animals		Soybeon medi (Soybeon medi 48, Ofber - 50)	3.8416	1.2424	0.00	Monure Monogement 2		Percentoge %	
Colves 0 - 16 weeks	10		20	os az contorna	6.1791	3.7081	0.41	Liquid/Siurry storage I	below onimal	40	∠ ∎
ADD ANBIAL			+	4	12.9539	7.2118	0.00 Þ	ADD MANUEL MANAGEMEN	n a		+
		_	_	Note: imports per ration)							
Cancel			Save			Edi	Rotion	Cancel			Save





What makes up the carbon footprint per kg FPCM?



- Energy
- . Feed
- 3. Nitrous Oxide
- 4. Manure management
- 5. Enteric fermentation

- I. Diet that the animals eat
- 2. Purchased feeds
- 3. Formulation of the diet
- Number of animals eating that diet
- 5. Efficiency of those animals
 - to convert feed into milk



It all starts with youngstock...







Pancreas

Cell cycle
 Function Langerhans islets
 Insulin secretory capacity

Muscle

Cell cycle Biosynthesis cholesterol Oxidative muscle phenotype

Liver

↑ Cell cycle
 ↓ Branched chain amino acids
 ↓ Synthesis of lipids/lipoproteins

Bone marrow

↓ Cell cycle

↓ Inflammation

Metabolism of lipids and lipopro

Every **100g** of average daily gain in first two months of life, you can expect approximately **225kg** of extra milk in first lactation. (Alex Bach) Fat ↑ Adipocyte differentiation ↑ Brown like adipocytes ↑ Oxidative metabolism ↓ Inflammation

Mammary gland

↑ Cell proliferation

Triglyceride biosynthesis

 \downarrow Apoptosis



Leal et al., 2016, 2017, 2018; Romão et al., 2018; Hare et al., 2019 trouw nutrition

Impact of AFC

AFC, months	28	26	24	22	0 70				
Milking cows	100	100	100	100					
Replacements	65	57	50	43					
Calves	19	17	15	14					
Total Animals	184	174	165	157					
Total Youngstock	84	74	65	57					
			6% reduction						
GLEAM Herd Dynamics. M	FAM Herd Dynamics McLeod et al (2018): Trouw Nutriton MyMilkPrint								

GLEAM Herd Dynamics, McLeod et al (2018); Trouw Nutriton MyMilkPrint

Animals will survive for longer



TN R&D; Leal et al., unpublished



+300 days



Impact of Replacement Rate

RR, %	40	33	25	20	5%
Av Lact No.	2.5	3	4	5	
Milking cows	100	100	100	100	
1 st Lactation	30	26	21	18	
2 nd Lactation +	70	74	79	82	
Youngstock	72	59	45	36	
Calves	14	13	11	10	
Total Animals	186	172	156	146	
			£72,000		
			5% reduction		C trouw nutrition

GLEAM Herd Dynamics, McLeod et al (2018); Trouw Nutrition MyMilkPrint

HealthyLife and surviving to next lactation

- Culling rates in first 100 DIM
- Effect of **survival** just shown → 5% reduction in footprint
- Mastitis, lameness, fertility, heat stress, poor milk yield, metabolic disease (Yanga *et al.*, 2022)

Country	Culling Rate, %	Replacement Rate, %
UK	28	33
N. Ireland	25	33
Ireland	5-10	23
Netherlands	-	28
Italy	-	40
Germany	25	40



How to get to the next lactation?

- Post calving drink studies
- Increase in milk yield (+1.5kg/d) and milk protein (+57g/d)
- Effect more pronounced in primiparous animals
- Model in MyMilkPrint based on study data shows a reduction in carbon footprint of approx. 2%



J. Dairy Sci. 104 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-19742 © 2021, The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. and Fass Inc. or This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

Effect of a calcium-energy supplement or nk at caperformance: Milk yield and composition, odds to a next lactation, and calving interval

Jean-Baptiste Daniel,*† [©] Juliette N. Wilms,* [©] Jan H. Mica, and Javier Martín-Tereso [©] Trouw Nutrition R&D, P.O. Box 299, 3800 AG, Amersfoort, the Netherlands

Study Information						
Commercial farms	10					
# cows	504					
Treatment 1	Ca energy supplement					
Treatment 2	Placebo					



d/4.0/)

ластаноп

Protecting hindgut particularly in early lactation





- Post rumen prebiotic improves mil
 - 1.1kg/d in milk yield
 - 0.04% increase in milk protein
- Being proactive can reduce carbon footprint by approx. 4%
- Associated positive effects on fertility → effect on replacement rate



~4%

Heat stress scenarios

Italian heat stress data:

- -1.5kg milk per day
- -0.2% butterfat
- -0.1% protein
- -15% conception rate

Proactive prevention could maintain milk yield, milk constituents and conception rates → profitability & carbon footprint!

<image>

Impact of Heat Stress



Multiple Lactations – Longevity Complete a healthy 5th lactation



Top: Annual cull rate (%) Bottom: Average number of lactations

- calf value opportunity cost
 aged cow cost
 lack of maturity cost
 herd replacement cost
 genetic opportunity cost
 opportunity from optimal
- 3rd lactation is optimal for genetic expression
- 5th lactation is optimal for minimal aged cow cost
- Low herd replacement at 20% with voluntary culls



LifeStart & HealthyLife

	Baseline
% reduction in carbon footprint	AFC: 26.4 RR: 30% Milk Yield: 9500
kg CO2e/kg milk	1.20
LDY, kg	12.7
Net value £/ cow	_

Source: Trouw Nutrition MyMilkPrint



HEALTHYLIFE SUSTAINABLE LIFETIME PERFORMANCE



Precision Nutrition





Reducing the impact of feed





Sourcing of raw materials can have a huge effect

									int
🖉 Feed management							9%	•	
+ Add by ingredients	+ Add by impacts						cob	Export (0)	
NAME NAME	ADDITIONAL INFO	MANUFACTURING DATE 🛧	CLIMATE CHANGE (kg CO2e)	METHANE (kg)	GWP - LULUC (kg CO2e)	ACIDIFICATION (mol H+e)	AMMONIA (kg)	EUTROP. (WAT.) (kg Pe)	•
Compound feed 1 Bra/Ind	SBM Brazil, Palm Indonesia	11/9/2022	1312.4069	4.0	835 551.8834	7.7448	1.43983	0.15	
Compound feed 2 US/Mal	SBM US, Palm Malaysia	11/9/2022	752.1689	3.7	697 77.9650	7.7004	1.45262	0.10	



trouw nutrition

a Nutreco company

Effect of sourcing on footprint of feed

Balancing diets



a Nutreco company

Diet changes for carbon footprint reduction



a Nutreco company

10%

Effect of feed efficiency on carbon footprint

	High DMI	Low DMI	Difference
Feed Intake, kg DMI	22	20	9%
Impact of Diet, kg CO2e	12.95	11.64	10%
Impact per kg milk, kg CO2e/ kg FPCM	1.20	1.12	7%





Increasing output per unit

• Increasing milk yield by $10\% \rightarrow$ reduction in carbon footprint by 10%









10%

All cows are eating a diet...









Feeding for marginal litres





- When does feeding concentrates become unprofitable?
- Profitable to feed concentrates = more litres of milk
 - Dilute GHG
 - Balanced diet
- Not profitable; increase GHG emissions, no increase in litres

2



Milk yield <u>ABSOLUTE</u> vs. Milk yield <u>RESPONSE</u>



Responsible Minerals



a Nutreco company

Responsible Minerals





Figure 1: NDF Digestibility: Selko IntelliBond vs Sulfates



Figure 2: Selko IntelliBond Impact on Milk Yield

Process of environmental footprinting



What if?



Current Carbon Footprint



Top 3 Mitigation Strategies



	Age at 1st calving	Reduce impact of feed	Decrease replacement rate
CO2e	3%	8%	10%
Reduction	576	0,0	1078
Profit,	607 000	C1 925	690.046
£/ year	127,533	£1,020	£30,040

How to reduce carbon footprint?

1. Reduce age at first calving through improved growth rates and feeding more milk

- 2. Review impact of diet by decreasing environmental footprint of feed
- 3. Review replacement rate through impact of youngstock rearing and transition

This report has been produced with the best information available at the time of writing



When can we affect change?

2030

- Impact of compound feed/ blend
- Ration
 formulation
- Forage quality
- CH4 reducing
 additives

- Decrease culling rates
- Improvements
 in fertility
- Improvement in milk yield/ constituents

- Decrease AFC
- Reduce replacement rates
- Increase LDY



Where does carbon footprint reduction come from?

	Baseline
% reduction in carbon footprint	AFC: 26.4 RR: 30% Milk Yield: 9500
kg CO2e/kg milk	1.20
LDY, kg	12.7
Net value £/ cow	_



Where does carbon footprint reduction come from?

	Baseline	LifeStart	HealthyLife	Precision Nutrition	Environmental Footprint Specific	CH4 Reduc Additiv	l ing ves	Total
% reduction in carbon footprint	AFC: 26.4 RR: 30% Milk Yield: 9500	6	5	10	9	(10))	30 (40)
kg								
CO2e/kg milk		Dec	rease cai	bon foot	print			0.84 (0.72)
LDY, kg			ncrease	efficienc	y			22.7
Net value £/ cow		Ir	ncrease p	rofitabili	ty			£521
-								



What can we do today?





How to reduce footprint with tools available today

- 1. Feed and enteric fermentation contribute approximately 80% of footprint
- 2. Starts with youngstock!!
- 3. Review every decision for effect on carbon footprint
- 4. Marginal improvements lead to big gains
- 5. Don't wait to start your journey!



Thank you for listening

